Linear Algebra ETH Zürich, HS 2024, 401-0131-00L

The Computer Science Lens

Linear Programming

Bernd Gärtner

November 14, 2024

#### Linear Regression, reloaded



With least squares and linear algebra, we can find a line that minimizes the sum of quadratic errors,

$$
\min_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}\sum_{k=1}^m (b_k-(\alpha_0+\alpha_1t_k))^2
$$

Outliers (points far up or down) have too much influence: large errors get "inflated" by squaring them. Why not minimize the sum of *absolute* errors?

$$
\min_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}\sum_{k=1}^m|b_k-(\alpha_0+\alpha_1t_k)|
$$

### Linear Regression with absolute errors

A line that minimizes the sum of absolute errors

$$
\min_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}\sum_{k=1}^m|b_k-(\alpha_0+\alpha_1t_k)|
$$

can be found efficiently. . .

- $\blacktriangleright$  ... but this is much more complicated (and much slower) than least squares
- ▶ ... and uses a technique that is known to be efficient only since 1979!
- $\blacktriangleright$  In contrast, least squares is well-understood since the 18th century.

The 20th century technique is called Linear Programming.

Observation: the sum of *absolute* errors can be minimized by linear programming [\[MG07,](#page-16-0) Section  $2.4 +$  Section 6.1].

Linear programming: from linear equations. . . to linear inequalities

**Problem:** Solve  $Ax = b!$  **Algorithm:** Gauss-Jordan elimination **Problem:** Solve  $Ax < b$ !

#### Example:



This is called (the decision version) of Linear Programming and has many important applications [\[MG07\]](#page-16-0).

Optimization version (used for regression with absolute errors): find solution of  $Ax < b$ that maximizes a linear function  $\mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}!$  Reduces to decision version [\[MG07,](#page-16-0) Section 6.1].

#### Algorithm: ?



The solution space (subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

 $0 \le x_1 \le 1$ 

 $0 \le x_2 \le 1$ 

 $0 \le x_3 \le 1$ 





Intersection of six halfspaces

The solution space (subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

$$
0 \leq x_1 \leq 1
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{3}x_1 \leq x_2 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{3}x_1
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{3}x_2 \leq x_3 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{3}x_2
$$



Klee-Minty Cube

The solution space (subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

A an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Solutions of  $Ax \leq b$ : intersection of m halfspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a convex polyhedron



#### Taming the beast

Title Page, New York Times, November 7, 1979 ( bottom of the page)



#### A Soviet Discovery Rocks World of Mathematics

#### **By MALCOLM W. BROWNE**

A surprise discovery by an obscure Soviet mathematician has rocked the world of mathematics and computer analysis, and experts have begun exploring its practical applications

Mathematicians describe the discovlems that have hitherto been tackled on a The solution of mathematical problems kind of hit-or-miss has is.

Apart from its protound meoretical in-<br>terest, the discovery may be applicable sometimes involves so many steps that it and a state is not because the

in weather prediction, complicated indus. [could take billions of years to compute trial processes, petroleum refining, the The Russian discovery offers a way by scheduling of workers at large factories, which the number of steps in a solution secret codes and many other things.

"I have been deluged with calls from ery by L.G. Khachian as a method by cance of this," a leading expert on com-<br>which computers can find guaranteed puter methods. Dr. George B. Dantzig of required. solutions to a class of very difficult prob- Stanford University, said in an interview.

by computer must be broken down into a

Apart from its profound theoretical in- series of steps. One class of problem

can be dramatically reduced. It also offers the mathematician a way of learning virtually every department of govern. quickly whether a problem has a solution ment for an interpretation of the signifi- or not, without having to complete the en-Mathematicians describe the discov-<br>ery by L.G. Khachian as a method by cance of this," a leading expert on com-<br> $\frac{f}{f}$  are immense computation that may be

According to the American journal Sci-

Continued on Page A20, Column 3

## Taming the beast, in theory and in practice

- ▶ Leonid Khachiyan, 1979: Linear Programming can in theory be solved efficiently.
- $\blacktriangleright$  However, Khachiyan's algorithm (the ellipsoid method) is useless in practice.
- ▶ George Dantzig, 1940's: Linear Programming can in practice be solved efficiently.
- $\blacktriangleright$  However, Dantzig's algorithm (the simplex method) is useless in theory.
- What this means: It is not known whether there is a variant of the simplex method that is *provably* efficient.
- $\blacktriangleright$  This is ongoing research...

### Linear inequalities, geometrically

**Problem, algebraically:** Solve  $Ax \le b!$ 

Problem, geometrically: Find a point in a convex polyhedron, or conclude that it is empty!



point in convex polyhedron  $=$  solution convex polyhedron empty, no solution

### Finding a point in a convex polyhedron. . .

 $\ldots$  is "the same" as finding a corner.<sup>1</sup>

If we have a corner, we have a point, and if we have a point, we can easily find a corner (walk until we hit a wall, walk inside the wall until we hit another wall,. . . )



 $1$ For this, we need to assume that the convex polyhedron is bounded, but this is no problem.

# Solving  $Ax < b$

Consider the inequalities

$$
A\mathbf{x} + x_{n+1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq \mathbf{b}, \quad x_{n+1} \leq 0.
$$



- $\blacktriangleright$  The corresponding convex polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is nonempty, and a point in it can be found easily (set  $x = 0$  and make  $x_{n+1}$  small enough).
- $\blacktriangleright$  From this point, find a corner, as described before.
- From this corner, "climb up" along edges to the highest corner ( $=$  highest point, the one with largest  $x_{n+1}$ -value).
- ▶ If the highest corner has  $x_{n+1} = 0$ , we have solved  $Ax \le b$ , otherwise,  $Ax \le b$  has no solution.

This is George Dantzig's simplex method from the 1940's [\[Dan63\]](#page-16-1).

Depending on the climbing rule, the simplex method...



 $n$ -dimensional Klee-Minty cube: a natural climbing rule visits all  $2^n$  corners [\[KM72\]](#page-16-2).

### Runtime of the simplex method

- $\blacktriangleright$  Fast in practice
- But: For every climbing rule that people have developed, there are (artificially constructed) beasts on which climbing takes very long when this rule is used.

Open problem: Is there a climbing rule which climbs every beast quickly?

A positive answer would solve Smale's 9th problem for the 21st century:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smale%27s\\_problems](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smale%27s_problems)

- $\triangleright$  There are randomized climbing rules (using coin flips) which are (in expectation) faster than the known deterministic ones (no coin flips).
- $\triangleright$  One concrete result here: There is a rule that climbs every *n*-dimensional cube in The concrete result here. There is a rule that childs every *n*-unnersional cube in at most  $e^{2\sqrt{n}}$  steps in expectation (much better than the worst case  $2^n$ ) [Gär02].

# More on linear programming



▶ VL Algorithms, Probability, and Computing (Kernfach, Vertiefung Theoretische Informatik)

### References

#### <span id="page-16-1"></span>G. B. Dantzig.  $\equiv$

Linear Programming and Extensions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1963.

#### <span id="page-16-3"></span>B. Gärtner. 晶

The Random-Facet simplex algorithm on combinatorial cubes. Random Structures & Algorithms, 20(3), 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.10034>.

#### <span id="page-16-2"></span>Ħ V. Klee and G. J. Minty.

How good is the simplex algorithm? In O. Shisha, editor, *Inequalities III*, pages 159–175. Academic Press, 1972.

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>晶 J. Matoušek and B. Gärtner. Understanding and Using Linear Programming. Springer, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30717-4>.