

Satisfiability of Boolean Formulas

Spring 2013

Special Assignment Set 2

- The solution is due on **Friday, May 3, 2013, 10:15 (strict!)**. Please bring a print-out of your solution with you to the exercise session. If you cannot attend, you may alternatively send your solution as a PDF to timon.hertli@inf.ethz.ch. We will send out a confirmation that we have received your file. Make sure you receive this confirmation within the day of the due date, otherwise complain timely.
- Please solve the exercises carefully and then write a nice and complete exposition of your solution using a computer, where we strongly recommend to use L^AT_EX. A tutorial can be found at <http://www.cadmo.ethz.ch/education/thesis/latex>.
- For geometric drawings that can easily be integrated into L^AT_EX documents, we recommend the drawing editor IPE, retrievable at <http://ipe7.sourceforge.net/> in source code and as an executable for Windows.
- You are welcome to discuss the tasks with your colleagues, but we expect each of you to hand in your own, individual write-up. **You should not share your write-up or (even worse) your source code!**
- There will be three special assignments this semester. Each of them will be graded and the average grade will contribute 30% to your final grade.
- This is a theory course, which means: if an exercise does not explicitly say "you do not need to prove your answer" or "justify intuitively", then a formal proof is **always** required.
- As with all exercises, the material covered in special assignments is relevant for the final exam.

Exercise 1 (Strongly Satisfiable Formulas) (40 points)

Definition 1. Let F be a boolean formula. A satisfying assignment α is called a *strongly satisfying assignment* of F (α *strongly satisfies* F) if any assignment β that differs from α in one variable (i.e. has Hamming distance 1) also satisfies F .

If F has a strongly satisfying assignment, then F is called *strongly satisfiable*.

Solve the following tasks:

- Let F be a CNF formula. Prove that α strongly satisfies F if and only if α satisfies at least two literals of every clause $C \in F$.
- Let F be a strongly satisfiable (≤ 3)-CNF formula. Give a polynomial time algorithm that finds a satisfying assignment of F .
- Let F be a strongly satisfiable (≤ 4)-CNF formula. Give a randomized polynomial time algorithm that finds a satisfying assignment of F with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Suppose you have an polynomial time algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ that finds a satisfying assignment of any strongly satisfiable boolean formula (not necessarily in CNF). Prove that in this case, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$.
HINT: Convert a CNF formula F into a boolean formula G (in polynomial time) s.t. if F is satisfiable then G is strongly satisfiable, and if F is not satisfiable then G is not satisfiable.

Exercise 2 (Monotone Formulas) (60 points)

Definition 2. A boolean formula (not necessarily in CNF) is called *monotone* if it consists only of positive literals, constants 0 and 1, logical OR operators ($\vee$), logical AND operators ($\wedge$), but no negations, negative literals, or other logical operators.

0, y and $x \vee (y \wedge (1 \vee y))$ are monotone formulas, while $\bar{x} \vee y$ and $x \rightarrow y$ are not monotone formulas.

Deciding whether a monotone formula is satisfiable is easy:

- (a) Give a polynomial time algorithm that decides satisfiability of monotone formulas.

In this exercise we want to show that *counting* satisfying assignments of a monotone formula is as hard as counting satisfying assignments of a general boolean formula.

First we reduce counting satisfying assignments of a general formula to counting satisfying assignments of a (≤ 3)-CNF formula (and thus a CNF-formula).

- (b) Argue that in Theorem 1.1 (from the lecture notes) the number of satisfying assignments are preserved.

Now we reduce counting satisfying assignments of a CNF formula to counting satisfying assignments of two monotone formulas.

- (c) Let F be a CNF formula over V of the following form: Every clause C contains either only positive literals or only negative literals.

Construct in polynomial time (w.r.t. the total size of F) two monotone formulas G, H over V s.t. $|\text{sat}_V(F)| = |\text{sat}_V(G)| - |\text{sat}_V(H)|$.

Note that we defined the notion $\text{sat}_V(F)$ only for CNF formulas, but it extends to arbitrary formulas in the obvious way.

HINT: Let G be the part of F that contains only positive literals. Let H be such that its satisfying assignments are exactly those that satisfy G but not F . To build H remember De Morgan's laws and use the fact that H need *not* be in CNF.

- (d) Let F be an arbitrary CNF formula over V . Construct in polynomial time two monotone formulas G, H over some variable set V' s.t. $|\text{sat}_V(F)| = |\text{sat}_{V'}(G)| - |\text{sat}_{V'}(H)|$.

HINT: Replace every negative literal $\bar{x}$ of F by a new variable n_x , to obtain a monotone CNF formula over $2|V|$ variables. Add clauses that enforce $x \neq n_x$ and then use (c).

Now we show that it is sufficient to count satisfying assignments of *one* monotone formula.

- (e) Let F be a monotone formula on n variables V . Give, for any $n' \geq n$, a monotone formula F' on n' variables V' with $|\text{sat}_V(F)| = |\text{sat}_{V'}(F')|$.

- (f) Let F and G be monotone formulas over V_F and V_G , respectively. Construct in polynomial time a monotone formula H over some set V_H with

$$|\text{sat}_{V_H}(H)| = |\text{sat}_{V_F}(F)| \cdot 2^{|V_G|} + |\text{sat}_{V_G}(G)| \cdot 2^{|V_F|} - |\text{sat}_{V_F}(F)| \cdot |\text{sat}_{V_G}(G)|.$$

HINT: The solution is very easy. Remember that you don't need to build a CNF formula.

- (g) Let F and G be monotone formulas over V_F and V_G , respectively. Construct in polynomial time a monotone formula H s.t. from the number of satisfying assignments of H you can determine easily the number of satisfying assignments of F and G .

HINT: Tune the formulas by (e) and then use (f).