

Satisfiability of Boolean Formulas *Spring 2014* *Special Assignment Set 3*

- The solution is due on **Friday, May 23, 2014, 10:15 (strict!)**. Please bring a print-out of your solution with you to the exercise session. If you cannot attend, you may alternatively send your solution as a PDF to `timon.hertli@inf.ethz.ch`. We will send out a confirmation that we have received your file. Make sure you receive this confirmation within the day of the due date, otherwise complain timely.
- Please solve the exercises carefully and then write a nice and complete exposition of your solution using a computer, where we strongly recommend to use $\text{\LaTeX}$. A tutorial can be found at <http://www.cadmo.ethz.ch/education/thesis/latex>.
- For geometric drawings that can easily be integrated into $\text{\LaTeX}$ documents, we recommend the drawing editor IPE, retrievable at <http://ipe7.sourceforge.net/> in source code and as an executable for Windows.
- You are welcome to discuss the tasks with your colleagues, but we expect each of you to hand in your own, individual write-up. **You should not share your write-up or (even worse) your source code!**
- There will be three special assignments this semester. Each of them will be graded and the average grade will contribute 30% to your final grade.
- This is a theory course, which means: if an exercise does not explicitly say "you do not need to prove your answer" or "justify intuitively", then a formal proof is **always** required.
- As with all exercises, the material covered in special assignments is relevant for the final exam.

Exercise 1 (PPZ and Unit Clause Propagation) (50 points)

Remember the PPZ algorithm from the lecture notes on page 136. PPZ determines the value of a variable as follows: If $\{x\} \in F$, $\alpha(x)$ is set to 1; if $\{\bar{x}\} \in F$, $\alpha(x)$ is set to 0; otherwise $\alpha(x)$ is guessed uniformly at random.

In this exercise we consider a more powerful strategy to determine the value of x . For this, look again at unit clause reduction on page 79 (we ignore the second part of the output). Obviously if $\square \in \text{uc}(F^{[x \mapsto b]}, \text{vbl}(F) \setminus \{x\})$, then to possibly obtain a satisfying assignment, we have to set x to $1 - b$.

Making use of this observation we modify **ppz** by replacing the condition $\{x\} \in F$ with $\square \in \text{uc}(F^{[x \mapsto 0]}, \text{vbl}(F) \setminus \{x\})$ and by replacing the condition $\{\bar{x}\} \in F$ with $\square \in \text{uc}(F^{[x \mapsto 1]}, \text{vbl}(F) \setminus \{x\})$. Call the resulting algorithm **ppz_imp**. Do the same replacements in **encode** on page 133 and call the resulting algorithm **encode_imp**.

- (a) Argue that **ppz_imp** runs in polynomial time and that the probability that **ppz_imp** finds a satisfying assignment of a satisfiable ($\leq k$)-CNF formula over n variables is at least $2^{-n+n/k}$ (that is, **ppz_imp** doesn't perform worse than **ppz**).

In the following subtasks let F be an ($\leq k$)-CNF formula over n variables V with *exactly one* satisfying assignment α (on V). For (b)-(f) consider the case $k = 3$; for (g) consider general $k \geq 3$.

For $x \in V$, define $\text{guessed}(x)$ to be the probability over $\pi \in_{\text{u.a.r.}} \mathcal{S}_V$ that in **encode_imp**(α, F, V, π), in the step of x , $\alpha(x)$ is added to the string ϵ .

- (b) Let $x \in V$. Suppose x has two (different) critical clauses, or a critical (≤ 2)-clause. Show that $\text{guessed}(x) \leq \frac{7}{12}$.
- (c) Let $x \in V$. Suppose there is $y \in V$ such that x has a critical 3-clause C_x with $y \in \text{vbl}(C_x)$, and y has a critical clause C_y with $x \notin \text{vbl}(C_y)$. Show that $\text{guessed}(x) \leq \frac{37}{60}$.
- (d) Let $x \in V$. Suppose x has exactly one critical clause C_x , and this clause contains another variable y (as a dissatisfied literal), and all critical clauses for y contain the variable x . Show that in this case $\text{guessed}(x) \leq \frac{7}{12}$.
HINT: What happens if we flip both x and y in α ?
- (e) Prove that **ppz_imp** finds the satisfying assignment of F with probability at least $2^{-\frac{37}{60}n}$.
- (f) The analysis of **ppz** also works for multiple satisfying assignments. Where does the same approach fail here?
- (g) Adapt (b)-(e) for general $k \geq 3$, i.e. use the same ideas to get corresponding bounds (the bounds will depend on k).
NOTE: Feel free to solve (b)-(e) directly for general k .

Exercise 2 (An Interesting Way to Count 2-SAT) (50 points)

Let $F = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ be an (≤ 2) -CNF formula F with m clauses over n variables V . Assume that both F and its clauses are ordered. In this exercise we devise an interesting way to count the number of satisfying assignments of F .

Suppose we are given an assignment β on $W \subseteq V$ and we want to count the number of satisfying assignments of $F^{[\beta]}$ on $(V \setminus W)$. We do this using the following (very wasteful) algorithm $\mathcal{A}(F, \beta)$:

If $\square \in F^{[\beta]}$, return 0. If β satisfies F (that is, $F^{[\beta]} = \{\}$), return $2^{|V|-|W|}$.

Otherwise, let C_i be the first clause in F (w.r.t. the fixed order specified on F) that is not satisfied by β . Let $C'_i := C_i^{[\beta]}$. For all $2^{|C'_i|}$ assignments β' on $\text{vbl}(C'_i)$, call $\mathcal{A}(F, \beta \cup \beta')$; then return the sum of the results.

Let $d(F, \beta)$ the maximum recursion depth of $\mathcal{A}(F, \beta)$: 0 if $\mathcal{A}$ does not make recursive calls; 1 plus the maximum of $d(F, \beta \cup \beta')$ otherwise.

- Argue that $\mathcal{A}(F, \beta) = |\text{sat}_{V \setminus W}(F^{[\beta]})|$ and that $\mathcal{A}$ runs in time at most $\min\{4^{d(F, \beta)}, 2^{|V \setminus W|}\} \text{poly}(n)$.
- Define the following map m from β with $d(F, \beta) > 0$: As in the algorithm, let C_i be the first clause in F not satisfied by β and $C'_i := C_i^{[\beta]}$. Choose β' on $\text{vbl}(C'_i)$ such that all literals of C'_i evaluate to false. Let $m(\beta)$ be the pair of the assignment $\beta \cup \beta'$ and the information which literals of C_i are in C'_i (there are 3 possibilities: first, second, or all). Show that m is injective.
- For given n , what l maximizes $\binom{n}{l} 2^l$?
- Suppose $l \geq \frac{9}{10}n$ (this condition is not tight). Let $B(l, s)$ be the set assignments β on some $W \in \binom{V}{l}$ with $d(F, \beta) > s$.

For $\beta \in B(l, s)$ do the following: As $d(F, \beta) > s$, for some choices of the algorithm we will reach recursion depth $s + 1$. Consider the first time this happens; at this time $s + 2$ calls are still active; the call of $\mathcal{A}$ we are currently in and $s + 1$ previous calls that lead to this call. For the first s previous calls, look at the s clauses C'_i that lead to a recursive call. Let γ be the assignment that dissatisfies all these s clauses C'_i (on the corresponding variables). Additionally for each of the s clauses C'_i , write down which literals of C_i are in C'_i , and which literals of C'_i are chosen to be satisfied by the algorithm in the branch we are currently in.

Show that from $\beta \cup \gamma$ and the additional information you can get back β . Conclude that $|B(l, s)| \leq n \cdot \binom{n}{l+s} 2^{l+s} \cdot 3^s \cdot 3^s$.

HINT: Try to apply the findings of (b) iteratively.

- Set $l = \lceil \frac{99}{100}n \rceil$ and $s = \frac{1}{1000}n$. Pick the set W uniformly at random from $\binom{V}{l}$. Then pick an assignment $\beta \in_{\text{u.a.r.}} \{0, 1\}^W$. Show that the probability that $\beta \in B(l, s)$ is in $O(2^{-\epsilon' n})$ for some $\epsilon' > 0$.
- Use the previous subtask to give an algorithm for counting the number of satisfying assignments of an (≤ 2) -CNF formula F on n variables in *expected* time $O(2^{(1-\epsilon)n})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

NOTE: This algorithm might seem pointless. However for larger k it is the fastest (w.r.t. *expected* time) algorithm for k -SAT counting.

Also note that unlike the randomized SAT algorithms you have seen, this algorithm will always output the correct answer (we have a so-called Las Vegas algorithm).