

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Institute of Theoretical Computer Science Patrick Schnider

## Introduction to Topological Data Analysis Scribe Notes 17 FS23

Scribe notes by Simon Weber. Please contact me for corrections. Lecture date: April 28, 2023 Last update: Tuesday 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2023, 10:35

## **Interleaving Distance**

Until now, we compared persistence diagrams. We will now introduce the interleaving distance, which instead compares persistence modules. Let us begin with a formal definition of persistence modules.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Definition 1. A persistence module } \mathbb{V} \textit{ over } \mathbb{R} \textit{ is a collection } \mathbb{V} = \{V_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \textit{ of vector spaces} \\ V_a \textit{ together with linear maps } \nu_{a,a'} : V_a \rightarrow V_{a'} \textit{ such that } \nu_{a,a} = id \textit{ and } \nu_{b,c} \circ \nu_{a,b} = \nu_{a,c} \\ \textit{ for all } a \leq b \leq c. \end{array}$ 

You already know a few examples of persistence modules, e.g., the persistent homology of sublevel set filtrations or of Čech or Vietoris-Rips complexes (here one simply defines  $V_a = 0$  for a < 0).

When are two persistence modules "the same"?

**Definition 2.** We say that two persistence modules  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  are isomorphic if there are isomorphisms  $f_a: U_a \to V_a$  such that

$$\begin{array}{c} U_{a} \xrightarrow{u_{a,a'}} U_{a'} \\ \uparrow^{f_{a}} & \uparrow^{f_{a'}} \\ V_{a} \xrightarrow{\nu_{a,a'}} V_{a'} \end{array}$$

commutes both ways, i.e.,  $f_{a'} \circ u_{a,a'} = v_{a,a'} \circ f_a$ , and  $u_{a,a'} \circ f_a^{-1} = f_{a'}^{-1} \circ v_{a,a'}$ .

**Definition 3** ( $\varepsilon$ -interleaving persistence modules). Let  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  be persistence modules over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  are  $\varepsilon$ -interleaved if there exist two families of maps,  $\varphi_a : U_a \to V_{a+\varepsilon}$  and  $\psi_a : V_a \to U_{a+\varepsilon}$  such that the following four diagrams are commutative:





**Theorem 4.** Assume  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  are  $\epsilon$ -interleaving. Let  $\delta > \epsilon$ . Then  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{V}$  are also  $\delta$ -interleaving.

*Proof.* Given  $\varphi'_a : U_a \to V_{a+\epsilon}$  we define  $\varphi_a : U_a \to V_{a+\delta}$  simply as  $\varphi_a := \nu_{a+\epsilon,a+\delta} \circ \varphi'_a$ . Symmetrically, we define  $\psi_a := u_{a+\epsilon,a+\delta} \circ \psi'_a$ . To check that the correct diagrams commute, we only check the right of every pair of symmetric ones above. We have to distinguish two cases for the first diagram,  $a + \delta < a' + \epsilon$  and  $a + \delta > a' + \epsilon$ .

For the first case, we get the following diagram:



For the second case we get the diagram:



And finally, for the triangular diagram we get:



One can now verify that in all of these diagrams the correct paths commute.

Thus, the following definition makes sense:

**Definition 5** (Interleaving distance).  $d_{I}(\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V}) := \inf\{\epsilon \mid \mathbb{U} \text{ and } \mathbb{V} \text{ are } \epsilon \text{-interleaved } \}.$ 

**Definition 6** (Interleaving for Filtrations). Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be filtrations over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are  $\epsilon$ -interleaved if there exist maps  $\phi_a : F_a \to G_{a+\epsilon}$  and  $\psi_a : G_a \to F_{a+\epsilon}$  such that the same type of diagrams commute up to homotopy, that is, for example  $\phi_{a'} \circ \iota^F_{a,a'} \simeq \iota^G_{a+\epsilon,a'+\epsilon} \circ \phi_a$  are homotopic (contiguous).

We again define the interleaving distance (now between filtrations):

 $d_I(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) = \inf\{ \varepsilon \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \text{ are } \varepsilon \text{-interleaved } \}.$ 

**Observation 7.** For all  $p \ge 0$ ,  $d_I(H_p\mathcal{F}, H_p\mathcal{G}) \le d_I(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

The proof follows immediately from induced homology.

Recall that for a point cloud P and a radius r, we have the relationship between the Čech and Vietoris-Rips complexes as follows:  $\mathbb{C}^r(P) \subseteq \mathbb{VR}^r(P) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2r}(P)$ . Since this factor 2 is multiplicative, and we need an additive  $\epsilon$  for interleaving, let us just take the logarithmic scale (base 2) for the radius, i.e., we define  $\mathbb{C}^r_{log} = \mathbb{C}^{2^r}$  and similarly  $\mathbb{VR}^r_{log} = \mathbb{VR}^{2^r}_{log}$ . Since  $2^{(r+1)} = 2r$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}^r_{log}(P) \subseteq \mathbb{VR}^r_{log}(P) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{r+1}_{log}(P)$ .

We thus have the following inclusions:



Since these are all inclusions, all relevant diagrams must commute, and thus we get that  $d_I(\mathbb{C}_{log}, \mathbb{VR}_{log}) \leq 1$ .

**Definition 8.** A persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$  is q-tame if the linear maps have finite rank.

Note that in this definition, the q is not a parameter, just a name.

**Theorem 9.** If  $\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V}$  are q-tame persistence modules over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$d_b(Dgm\mathbb{U}, Dgm\mathbb{V}) = d_I(\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V}).$$

Thus, for every interleaving one can find between two persistence modules or between filtrations, one immediately gets a bound on the Bottleneck distance.

Let us consider an example where this theorem helps us a lot.

## Interleaving of Čech Complexes

Consider two point clouds P, Q in the same metric space X.

Let us first consider the really simple case, where  $P = \{p\}$ , and  $Q = \{q\}$  with d(p, q) = d. Then,  $B(p, r) \subseteq B(q, r + d)$ . Now, how does this generalize to larger point sets? To get the same kind of behaviour, we need that for every point in P, there exists some point in Q with distance at most d. This motivates the following distance measure:

**Definition 10** (Hausdorff distance). Let  $A, B \subseteq X$  be compact sets. Then the Hausdorff distance between A and B is defined as

$$d_{\mathsf{H}}(A, \mathsf{B}) := \max\{\max_{a \in A} d(a, \mathsf{B}), \max_{b \in \mathsf{B}} d(b, A)\}.$$

Let  $d_H(P,Q) = d$ . Then,  $\bigcup_{p \in P} B(p,r) \subseteq \bigcup_{q \in Q} B(q,r+d)$ . From this, we get the following lemma:

Lemma 11. The (filtration given by) the Čech complexes of P and Q are d-interleaved.

Proof.



The relevant diagrams commute up to homotopy, since we only chain together homotopies and inclusion maps.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Theorem 12.**  $d_b(Dgm_p(\mathbb{C}(P)), Dgm_p(\mathbb{C}(Q))) \leq d_H(P,Q)$  for all  $p \geq 0$ .

Proof. By Theorem 9, Observation 7, and finally Lemma 11, we have

$$d_{b}(\ldots) = d_{I}(H_{p}\mathbb{C}(P), H_{p}\mathbb{C}(Q)) \leq d_{I}(\mathbb{C}(P), \mathbb{C}(Q)) \leq d_{H}(P, Q).$$